

Expanded FSAI Risk Ranking Model for Chemicals in Food

Presented by Dr. Jamie Wilson

28 November 2024



Presentation Outline

- Why develop a risk ranking model?
- FSAI Risk Ranking Model Example
 - Exposure score
 - Toxicity score



- Policy flag
- Risk Ranking Model Development
 - 1. Data Harmonisation
 - 2. Exposure Estimation
 - 3. Aggregation
- Next Steps





Why Develop a Risk Ranking Model?



Annual National Chemical Monitoring Programme - "wish list"



Proposal is currently based on:

- Legislative requirements
- Risk to consumers
- Non-compliance rate
- Current EU priorities
- RASFF alerts
- Emerging issues
- Findings from Directorate F-Health and Food Audits

Need to establish formalised 'Risk Ranking' approach to:

- provide a risk basis for controls
- make best use of resources
- shift focus to areas of most concern to Irish consumers

FSAI Proposals to the HSE for the 2023 National Chemical Surveillance Programme

Overview of Risk Ranking Model





Exposure Score







Exposure Score Example – Acrylamide in Crisps





Calculation of Toxicity Score



ALUA (UDC)/

(indicative) Health based quidance v

	Nature of hazard	(mg/kg bw/day)							
	(EXPERT JUDGEMENT)	>10	>0.1- 10	>0.001- 0.1	>0.00001- 0.001	>0.0000001 -0.00001	≤0.0000001		
Score	Critical effect	10	20	30	40	50	60		
0	No reported adverse effects								
10	Reversible pharmacological adverse effects (e.g. increased blood pressure or heart rate). Microbiological effects (e.g. disturbance of the gut flora).								
20	Reversible organ toxicity (e.g. kidney or liver damage)				Increasi	ng Hazard	Concern		
30	Irritation. Evidence of allergic reactions in animals.								
40	Carcinogenicity by mechanisms not relevant to humans. Irreversible organ toxicity/foetotoxity/embryotoxicity/ immunotoxicological effects (e.g. sensitisation).								
50	Mutagenicity. Irreversible neurotoxic effects. Irreversible reprotoxic effects.								
50	Evidence of carcinogenicity in humans or carcinogenic by mechanisms relevant to humans								
60	Genotoxic carcinogen (known to cause cancer by direct effects).								
150	Anaphylactants and acute toxicants.								
	© FSAI (Source: Clare and Price, 2012; Hanlon et al. 2015)						7		

Calculation of Toxicity Score



	Nature of hazard	(indicative) Health-based guidance value (HBGV) (mg/kg bw/day)								
	(EXPERT JUDGEMENT)	>10	>0.1- 10	>0.001- 0.1	>0.00001- 0.001	>0.0000001 -0.00001	≤0.0000001			
Score	Critical effect	10	20	30	40	50	60			
0	No reported adverse effects									
10	Reversible pharmacological adverse effects (e.g. increased blood pressure or heart rate). Microbiological effects (e.g. disturbance of the gut flora).									
20	Reversible organ toxicity (e.g. kidney or liver damage)									
30	Irritation. Evidence of allergic reactions in animals.									
40	Carcinogenicity by mechanisms not relevant to humans. Irreversible organ toxicity/foetotoxity/embryotoxicity/ immunotoxicological effects (e.g. sensitisation).				Acrylamide score					
	Mutagenicity. Irreversible neurotoxic effects. Irreversible reprotoxic effects.				(40+40)-80)				
50	Evidence of carcinogenicity in humans or carcinogenic by mechanisms relevant to humans									
60	Genotoxic carcinogen (known to cause cancer by direct effects).									
150	Anaphylactants and acute toxicants.									
	© FSAI (Source: Clare and Price, 2012; Hanlon et al. 2015)						8			

Policy Flag – What Legislation Applies?





A. Legislative limit/monitoring recommendation	
Legislative limit	0.1
Monitoring recommendation	0.2
B. Safeguard measures/Increased import control frequency provisions	
Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 884/2014	0.01
Commission Regulation (EC) No 669/2009	0.01
C. Emerging risk	
If applicable	0.001
Overall policy flag (A+B+C)	

Total Score – Acrylamide





Substance	Food	Exposure Score	Toxicity Score	Policy Flag	Total Score
Acrylamide	Crisps	120	80	0.2	9600.2

This Photo by Unknown Author is licensed under CC BY-SA

Pilot Study Results



Substance	Category name (TDS)	Group name (TDS)	Exposure Score Total	Toxicity Score Total	Policy Flag Total	Total Score
	Fine bakery ware	Other cakes buns and pastries	120	120	0	14,400
Afletevin D1	Pizza	Pizza tomato and cheese	120	120	0	14,400
Aflatoxin B1	Fine bakery ware	Plain biscuits	120	120	0	14,400
	Fine bakery ware	Chocolate biscuits	120	120	0	14,400

	Snacks	Crisps	120	80	0.2	9,600.2
	Fine bakery ware	Plain biscuits	120	80	0.2	9,600.2
Acrylamide	Potatoes	Chips, homemade from frozen pre-prepared	120	80	0.2	9,600.2
	Breakfast cereals	Wheat-type cereals	102	80	0.2	8,160.2

	Milk and cream	Low-fat, skimmed and fortified milks	27	90	0	2,430
Cadmium	Fresh vegetables	Lettuce	24	90	90 0.3	
	Potatoes	Potatoes without skin (boiled)	24	90	0.3	2,160.3
	Fresh vegetables	Carrots (boiled)	24	90	0.3	2,160.3

	Breakfast cereals	Cornflakes	1	50	0.1	50.1
Furnaniain D1	Wheat flour	White flour	1	50	0	50
Fumonisin B1	Fine bakery ware	Other cakes buns and pastries	1	50	0	50
	Herbs and spices	Herbs	1	50	0	50

Updates to Risk Ranking Model



Data Harmonisation

• Multiple Foodex2 matching strategies

Exposure Estimation with Monte Carlo Simulations

Aggregation by Foodex2 Level/Food Groups

>Inclusion of Food Processing using RPC model

➢Incorporation of Open Food Tox for HBGVs

Exposure Dashboard Example





Risk Ranking for National Chemical Sampling Plan



L3 Code	L3 Name	L4 Code	L4 Name	L5 Code	L5 Name	Mean Exposure (µg/kg bw/day)	E%HBGV (%)	Food Score	Total Score	Exposure Score	Toxicity Score Po	licy Flag	Risk Ranking Score
A009V	Biscuits					0.052	306.7	60	60	120	80	0.3	9600.3
		A009X	Biscuits, s	weet, plain		0.02	118.6	60	60	120	80	0	9600
		A00AE	Biscuit wit	h inclusion	s, filling or co	0.032	188	60	60	120	80	0	9600
A043V	Savoury sa	auces				0.0013	7.8	3	60	63	80	0	5040
		A043Z	Continent	al europea	n brown coo	0.0013	7.8	3	60	63	80	0	5040
A03VC	Dishes exc	cluding pas	sta or rice d	lishes, sanc	lwiches and	0.13	791.8	60	60	120	80	0	9600
		A03VD	Potato ba	sed dishes		0.13	791.8	60	60	120	80	0	9600
				A011N	Fries (finger	0.05	296.2	60	60	120	80	0.1	9600.1
AOEQY	Chips, cris	ps, fries ai	nd dough-b	ased analo	gues	0.02	120.3	60	60	120	80	0	9600
		AOEQX	Chips/cris	ps		0.02	120.2	60	60	120	80	0	9600
				A011L	Potato crisp	0.02	117	60	60	120	80	0.1	9600.1
		A0EQV	Puffs/curl	s-type extru	uded snack	0.0000015	0	0	60	60	80	0	4800
A0BY0	Leavened	bread and	l similar			0.038	225	60	60	120	80	0	9600
		A004X	Wheat bre	ead and rol	ls	0.038	221.9	60	60	120	80	0.1	9600.1
				A004Y	Wheat brea	0.012	70.8	42	60	102	80	0	8160
				A005E	Wheat brea	0.026	151	60	60	120	80	0	9600
		A005K	Bread and	I rolls with	special ingre	0.00053	3.1	0	60	60	80	0	4800

Next Steps



- > Full Incorporation of Open Food Tox and Expansion of Food Processing Factors
- ➢ Inclusion of Policy Flags
- > Exposure Modelling:
 - \circ Validation
 - Markov chain Monte Carlo?
- Non-Linear Scoring Methods
- Dashboard Development
- > Merging with National Chemical Sampling Plan

Thank you for your attention



Food Safety Authority of Ireland The Exchange, George's Dock, IFSC, Dublin 1, D01 P2V6

- **T** +353 1 817 1300
- E info@fsai.ie
- in Join us on LinkedIn Follow us on Twitter (
 - Follow us on Twitter @FSAlinfo
- **f** Say hi on Facebook

www.fsai.ie





